29 January 1965

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director, Central Intelligence

SUBJECT:

History of the CORONA System

information, and centains the history of the CORONA Program from initiation in March 1958 up to the present. The memorandum is divided into three sections. The first two: "Technical Development of the CORONA Program" and "Contractual Developments of the CORONA Program" are given as background of the third section: "Government Management". The information is presented in this manner as the technical developments and contractual arrangements provide a base and a prelude to the understanding of the government management developments.

## 2. Technical Development of the CORONA Program:

a. The first CORONA flighte were made from a THOR-AGENA - A launch vehicle. The camera flown was a single f:5.6 scanning less paneramic camera. The system resulution was in the neighborhood of 20 feet at the operating altitudes. The sperating altitudes were rather high--120-150 N. Mi. With the limited performance of these early THOR-AGENA's and the primitive guidance system (which introduced large uncertainties in the injection parameters), the system was severely weight limited. The recovery vehicle was the Mark IIA. The recovery system was developed under cover of a biemedical program; the Mark II capsule could be used for flight of monkeys (such flights were not made). Following a development period of approximately 2 1/2 years, marked by 11 unsuccessful flights, success was finally achieved with a CORONA camera system in August of 1960. One "diagnostic" vehicle had been recovered one week earlier. Shortly thereafter, design improvements were proposed for the basic camera; and development was initiated on

NRO review(s) completed.

Approved For Release 2003/10/22 : CIA-RDP89B00980R000400120003-5

loxo 25X1A 25X1A

GROUP 1
Excluded from automation
downgrading and
declassification

the C<sup>111</sup> unit. This camera was basically a 1:3.5, 24-inch focal length system, with resolution to the order of 10 feet. This camera differed from C primarily in that the lens rotated continuously during operation, rather than scan-and-return. Continual improvements were being made concurrently in the AGENA and THOR vehicles to allow more positive injection of desired parameters with greater weight capabilities. The first C<sup>111</sup> was flown in August of 1961 (approximately one year after the first successful flight of the original CORONA system).

- b. The increased THOR-AGENA capabilities made possible the introduction of a two-camera stereo model. (The necessary recovery system modifications had been accomplished under the ARGON Program.) This new model, called CORONA/MURAL, was formally initiated in March of 1961 and first flown in February of 1962. The system consisted of two C<sup>111</sup> cameras in a 30° convergent stereo configuration. Because of continual difficulties with the time recording mechanism used on the CORONA cameras, a digital clock from the ARGON Program was modified and substituted for the original clock. Auxiliary cameras for extended ground coverage at low resolution (index camera) and a stellar camera for accurate attitude determination were added in later versions.
- c. Concurrently, developments were made in the flight programming and command capabilities. The initial CORONA camera had only one ground command (a selection of the operating speed of the camera). More elaborate controls on v/h control were instituted, and an on-off capability for the program was added. All flights to date have used minor modifications of the original Fairchild Camera and Instrument Corporation flight programmer for flight command of the camera. Currently, three parameters of v/h time dependence, 10 separate programs, stereo-mono operation, and sequences of off-on can be commanded from the ground.
- d. Under the LANYARD Program, the increased performance capability of the Thrust Augmented THOR (TAT) was developed. This allowed almost doubling of the payload

Cy 2 of a 25X1A

25X1A

Approved For Release 2003/10/22: CIA-RDP 69B00980R000400120003-5

weight; a program was started under CORONA to double the film capacity and extend the useful mission life. This system, known as CORONA-J, used the basic mural camera with two recovery vehicles. The CORONA-J System was first flown in mid-1963. After a short period of program difficulties, the "J" System is operating satisfactorily and is providing the search surveillance for the community.

e. Two other programs were run concurrently with CORONA with a large overlap in technical personnel and management. The first of these was the LANYARD Program. LANYARD was a modification of the SAMOS E-5 System, designed to provide five-foot ground resolution photography with a swath width of about 40 miles. A single 66-inch focallength F:6 paneramic camera was used. This camera could be operated in an interrupted stereoscopic mode or in continuous

monoscopic. LANYARD was formed

25X1

second program was ARGON, a program spensored primarily by the Army Map Service and designed to establish a world-wide geodetic control network. A three-inch fecal-length, lewdistortion lens previded terrain coverage ever a 70° field ef view, at about 200-300 feet resolution. Fairchild Camera and Instrument Corporation (FCIC) was subcontractor to LMSC for was a working camera development. associate of LMSC, responsible for ground data reduction equipment. The ARGON Program was continued until recently, including a second procurement with FCIC as associate to LMSC. Two systems are still in storage; flight is being considered at the present. The ARGON System has provided a reasonable amount of geodetic control for the mapping community.

25X1A

## 3. Contractual Development of the CORONA Program:

Under the management philosophy used for the 117-L. Program, the covert side of the DISCOVERER or CORONA Program operated with Lockheed Missiles and Space Corporation as Weapons! Systems Manager/Prime Contractor. However, Mr. Bissell, through the monthly suppliers' meetings,

3

25X1A

25X1A

*		GROUP 1
		Excluded from automatic
	1	do was racing and
		dacks ship ZOA
1	I	The state of the s

Approved For Release 2003/10/23 CM RDP89B00980R000400 20003-5

exerted rather direct program control. This monthly suppliers' meeting management control technique had been used in the IDEALIST and in the OXCART programs. Under this prime contract for C, C' (follow-on procurement, similar camera to C), and C''', Rek was the first tier subcontractor for the camera; and General Electric was subcontractor for the recovery system development. FCIC was subcontractor to Rek on C and C'. With the changed Government philosophies on contractual arrangements, and in order to reduce program costs, the MURAL Program was initiated with Leckhood, Itek, and General Electric as associate contractors. Technical support of the Government management continued to be supplied by Leckhood under a Systems Engineering contract, initially planned to be contracted for the Air Force, but subsequently reverted to a CIA Contract. Control of the associate contractors was vested in a Configuration Control Beard with representatives of various Government offices.

25X1

b. From the inception through May 1961, the following statement was an official part of the direction to the contractors: "Technical direction of the program is the joint responsibility of several agencies of the Government. In the interest of effective management, however, such direction will be provided primarily by and through the Air Force Builistic Missile Division acting as the agent for all interested components of the Government. A Project Officer will be established in BMD as the single day-by-day point of contact for the Contractor. This Officer will have authority to make on-the-spot decisions within the scope of the work statement on all matters partaining to the program other than those of major importance. From time to time, the Government agencies concerned will jointly review the progress of the program. The Government will make arrangements

25X1A

25X1A 25X1A

GROUP 1 i from automatic

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/10/22(1) PA-RPR89800980R000400120003-5

to permit the prompt rendering of major decisions concerning the program which cannot be made by the Project Officer." In May 1961 this statement was changed to read as follows: "Overall technical direction of the program is the joint responsibility of several agencies of the Government. In the interest of effective management, however, such direction will be provided primarily by and through the Air Force, Space Systems Division, acting as the agent for all interested compenents of the Government. A project officer established in SSD will be the single day-by-day point of contact for the Contractor. LMSD shall establish and maintain technical and management control of sub-contractors as are required for proper execution of the work statement. Major subcentractors are Rek Laboratories and General Electric Missile and Space Vehicle Department. Subject to the everall management of SSD/Headquarters, LMSD shall fulfill responsible systems management of the C'" program as Wespon System Comtractor. Government approval of the technical decisions of the Contractor shall not be required prior to implementation, except as specifically set forth elsewhere in this contract. This prevision should not, however, he construed in any way limiting the right of the Government to direct or redirect the technical aspects of the Contractor's efforts at any time." Essentially the same language was carried through on MURAL and "J", although now Itek and General Electric were associate contractors, and Lockheed with both an associate contractor and assistant engineering contractor.

day point of contact for the contractor or contractors, the Agency maintained a rather direct and frequent contact (in addition to the controlling role in monthly suppliers' meetings) with the working level people through the operations officer who was 25X1A cted in a triple facility. located at the 25X1A 25X1 role. His primary responsibility was, of course, operations; as a secondary responsibility, he had mainly technical oversight. 25X1 Third, he had limited authority as a contracting officer. In 1946. NRO with establishment of the CORONA Project Office was detailed from under General Greer. 25X1 facility to provide the technical 25X1A 25X1 25X1A lomatic 25X1 Approved For Release 2003/10/22 VOIA-RI

c. While the project at SSD was the single day-by-

	MATERIAL WALLES OF THE PARTY BONDON	
	SUBJECT: History of the CORONA Program	
	g is a sort office of the second seco	
	associate contractors; and LMSC, in addition, was given the Systems Engineering Contract. Concurrently, a Configuration	
	Cartas Board man antablished. The Board consisted of a repre-	OEW:
	the Car	25X1/
	Operations Officer and a CIA	25X1
	Operations Chicar Col Howard,	25X1A
	was sent toined the Board shortly thereaster. The state	
	CORONA/MURAL System was flown in February 1962.	
	c. Rather early in the program, when severe	
	technical difficulties were encountered, a high-level team of government officers were assigned responsibility of solving	
	The committee was known as the "Authorn Location	
	m to be bounded by Mo Kinfay, However, as wer, assessed	
	A TOUR AND AS 12 Mayer 1904. During two persons	
	A THE PARTY OF THE PARTY AND A SECURE OF THE PARTY OF THE	
	The same of the sa	25X
	on the same of her besis, " The little of these problems	VRO
	was the electro-static discharge logging problem, which are the	
	plaguing us to some extent.	7
	d. Direction of the program proceeded under	
	Company Read wattl early in 1984. In 1866 1765	-
	FHITE CAMPINESS AND ADDRESS AN	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	and early 1964, the Director, NRO played an increasingly changes	
	and early 1964, the Director, NRO played an increasing, and role; and, in James 1964, specifically directed that all changes role; and, in James to approved by himself, following review	
	and early 1964, the Director, NKO played all introducingly role; and, in Jamesry 1964, specifically directed that all changes to the payload system be approved by himself, following review by the CCB. The CCB was not formerly disselved, but has not	
	and early 1964, the Director, RRO played an introduction role; and, in James y 1964, specifically directed that all changes to the payload system be approved by himself, following review by the CCB. The CCB was not formerly disselved, but has not met since appreximately March 1964.	
	and early 1964, the Director, RRO played an increasing, role; and, in Jamery 1964, specifically directed that all changes to the paylond system be approved by himself, following review by the CCB. The CCB was not formerly disselved, but has not met since approximately March 1964.  Noon the inception of the program until 1963,	
	and early 1964, the Director, RRO played an increasing, role; and, in Jamery 1964, specifically directed that all changes to the paylond system be approved by himself, following review by the CCB. The CCB was not formerly disselved, but has not met since approximately March 1964.  8. From the inception of the program until 1963, the day-byoday technical direction of all contractors was under	
	and early 1964, the Director, NRO played an introduction of the payload system be approved by himself, following review by the CCB. The CCB was not formerly disselved, but has not mot since approximately March 1964.  Solution of the program until 1963, the day-by-day technical direction of all contractors was under the program of the program of the program of the day-by-day technical direction of all contractors was under	
	and early 1964, the Director, RRO played an introduction of that all changes role; and, in Jamery 1964, specifically directed, that all changes to the paylond system be approved by himself, following review by the CCB. The CCB was not formerly disselved, but has not met since approximately March 1964.  e. From the inception of the program until 1963, the day-byoday technical direction of all contractors was under the general supervision of	
A	and early 1964, the Director, NRO played an introduction of the payload system be approved by himself, following review by the CCB. The CCB was not formerly disselved, but has not met since approximately March 1964.  e. From the inception of the program until 1963, the day-byoday technical direction of all contractors was under the general supervision of	25X
A	and early 1964, the Director, NRO played an introduction role; and, in James 1964, specifically directed that all changes to the payload system be approved by himself, following review by the CCB. The CCB was not formerly disselved, but has not met since approximately March 1964.  Solution of the program until 1963, the day-by-day technical direction of all contractors was under the general supervision of first in Ballistic Missiles Division and later in Space Systems Division. (SSD)  Tesponded directly to Washington authorities: Mr.	25X
Α	and early 1964, the Director, NRO played an introduction of that all changes role; and, in Jamery 1964, specifically directed, that all changes to the paylond system be approved by himself, following review by the CCB. The CCB was not formerly disselved, but has not met since approximately March 1964.  e. From the inception of the program until 1963, the day-by-day technical direction of all contractors was under the general supervision of first in Ballistic Missiles Division and later in Space Systems Division. (SSD)	25X
Α	and early 1964, the Director, NRO played an introduction role; and, in Jamery 1964, specifically directed, that all changes to the payload system be approved by himself, following review by the CCB. The CCB was not formerly disselved, but has not most since approximately biarch 1964.  a. From the inception of the program until 1963, the day-byoday technical direction of all contractors was under the general supervision of first in Ballistic Missiles Division and later in Space Systems Division. (SSD) responded directly to Washington authorities: Mr. Bissell, Dr. Charyk, etc. The program was generally assigned (under the NRO) to Director, Program "B" in CIA.	25X ] 25X 25X1
Α	and early 1964, the Director, NRO played an introduction role; and, in Jamery 1964, specifically directed, that all changes to the paylond system be approved by himself, following review by the CCB. The CCB was not formerly disselved, but has not most since approximately March 1964.  e. From the inception of the program until 1963, the day-by-day technical direction of all contractors was under the general supervision of	25X ] 25X 25X1
Α	and early 1964, the Director, NRO played an introduction role; and, in Jamery 1964, specifically directed, that all changes to the payload system be approved by himself, following review by the CCB. The CCB was not formerly disselved, but has not most since approximately biarch 1964.  a. From the inception of the program until 1963, the day-byoday technical direction of all contractors was under the general supervision of first in Ballistic Missiles Division and later in Space Systems Division. (SSD) responded directly to Washington authorities: Mr. Bissell, Dr. Charyk, etc. The program was generally assigned (under the NRO) to Director, Program "B" in CIA.	25X

X1

somewhat to Gen. Greer, Director, Program "A", nominally assigned to SSD, but actually heading an office (SAFSP) reporting directly to the Under-Secretary of the Air Force (Director,	
NRO). Early in 1964, as part of the Air Force move to assume	
full control of the CORONA Program, the program was transferred	
to a new office, directly under and reporting to Gen. Greer and	
headed by had earlier been in	
charge of several photographic systems under SAMOS, most	
recently E-6. Since that time, has been taking an 25X1	Α
increasingly strong role in the program, not using the CCB	
or associated mechanisms and reporting to Washington offices	
only through Gen. Greer.	
I. During the major portion of the CORONA  Program, funding was from Air Force funds transferred to CIA.  Budgeting information, etc., was also generated by CIA for submission. However, during 1964, budget submissions were made by the Director of Program "A" as part of the overall satellite recommissance program.	
from inception to date. CIA has had the responsibility for security and actual centracting. They have maintained this role primarily because of certain prerogatives of the Agency and methods of doing business.	
	2
ALBERT D. WHEELON	

Deputy Director for Science and Technology

Distribution:

Cy 1 - DD/CI

Cy 2 - ER

Cy 3 - DD/S&T

Cy 4&5 - DDS&T Registry

Cy 6, 7, 8 - DDS&T, SPS

O/DDS&T

Jan 65)

GROUP Imatic Excluded from and downgram and rectagnication 25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

P89B0098DR000400120003-5 Approved For Release 2003/1

25X1A

25X1A